

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/518,359	03/03/2000	William Russell Belknap	ST9-99-086	5222	
27885 7590 12/03/2003 FAY, SHARPE, FAGAN, MINNICH & MCKEE, LLP 1100 SUPERIOR AVENUE, SEVENTH FLOOR CLEVELAND, OH 44114			EXAMINER		
			CHANG, JUNGWON		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2154		
			DATE MAILED: 12/03/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					1
-		Арр	licati n N .	Applicant(s)	
		09/5	518,359	BELKNAP ET AL.	
Office Action Summary			miner	Art Unit	
		Jung	won Chang	2154	
The MAILIN Period for Reply	IG DATE of this communi	cation appears	on the cover sheet wi	th the corresp ndence addre	SS
THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may after SIX (6) MONTHS - If the period for reply s; - Failure to reply within ti - Any reply received by ti	TE OF THIS COMMUNION of a variable under the provisions of from the mailing date of this communication of the control of the control of the communication of the communication of the control of the cont	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In unication. b) days, a reply within the tutory period will apply will, by statute, cause	n no event, however, may a re the statutory minimum of thirty and will expire SIX (6) MON the application to become AB	pply be timely filed r (30) days will be considered timely. IHS from the mailing date of this commit ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.
1) Responsive	to communication(s) file	d on <u>amendmer</u>	nt filed 9/9/03.		
2a)⊠ This action i	s FINAL. 2	b) This action	is non-final.		
	oplication is in condition for cordance with the practic			ers, prosecution as to the me . 11, 453 O.G. 213.	erits is
Disposition of Claim	S				
• " • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	3 <u>,5-24,26-37 and 39-53</u> is pove claim(s) is/ar	-			
	is/are allowed.	o www.a.a.			
' <u> </u>		/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) <u>3,2</u>	4 and 37 is/are objected	to.			
8) Claim(s)	are subject to restric	tion and/or elec	tion requirement.		
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specifica	ation is objected to by the	Examiner.			
10)☐ The drawing	(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted	or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.	
Applicant may	y not request that any objec	tion to the drawin	g(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
<u> </u>	- ,,		•	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1	, ,
11)∐ The oath or o	declaration is objected to	by the Examine	er. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-	152.
Priority under 35 U.S	.C. §§ 119 and 120				
a)⊠ All b)□ 1.⊠ Certifi 2.□ Certifi 3.□ Copie	ment is made of a claim Some * c) None of: ed copies of the priority of ed copies of the priority of s of the certified copies of the the certified copies of the the certified copies of the certifie	documents have documents have of the priority do	e been received. e been received in Apcuments have been		ge
* See the attact 13) Acknowledgm since a specifi 37 CFR 1.78.	ned detailed Office action nent is made of a claim fo c reference was included	n for a list of the or domestic prio d in the first sen	certified copies not rity under 35 U.S.C. tence of the specification.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional ap ation or in an Application Dat	
14) Acknowledgm		or domestic prio	rity under 35 U.S.C.	een received. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a s plication Data Sheet. 37 CFI	
Address of the second					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References	Cited (PTO-802)		4) 🖂 Intoniow S	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	
2) D Notice of Draftsperso	on's Patent Drawing Review (P' re Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pa			formal Patent Application (PTO-15)	

Art Unit: 2154

FINAL ACTION

- 1. Claims 4, 25 and 38 are cancelled, claims 51-53 are newly added, and claims 1-3, 5-24, 26-37 and 39-53 are presented for examination.
- 2. The numbering of claims is not in accordance with 37 CFR 1.126 which requires the original numbering of the claims to be preserved throughout the prosecution. When claims are canceled, the remaining claims must not be renumbered. When new claims are presented, they must be numbered consecutively beginning with the number next following the highest numbered claims previously presented (whether entered or not).

 Misnumbered claim 52 has been renumbered claim 53 (claim 52 is duplicated).
- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U. S. Code not included in this office action can be found in a prior office action.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 5-23, 26-36 and 39-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Katinsky et al. (6,452,609 B1), hereinafter referred to as Katinsky, in view of Yost et al. (US 6,567,796 B1), hereinafter referred to as Yost.
- 5. As to claims 1 and 19, Katinsky discloses the invention substantially as claimed, including a method for providing a user interface at an terminal in a network for managing

Art Unit: 2154

operations including encoding operations performed on media data by selected ones of a plurality of media servers (col. 1, line 60 – col. 2, line 4; col. 10, lines 9-16; col. 12, lines 61-66), the process comprising the steps of:

displaying a graphical user interface at the terminal (figs. 1-9), said interface including a plurality of interface components enabling a user to select a manual encoding mode for manually starting and stopping manual encoding processes to be performed by selected ones of the servers (col. 6, lines 1-6 and 10-18);

receiving information input by the user specifying a selected one of the media servers, a selected mode of operation, and an encoding operation (col. 4, lines 12-20 and 56-65);

generating commands and associated parameters based on the input information for instructing the selected server to execute the specified encoding operation in accordance with the selected mode of operation (col. 12, lines 61-63; col. 14, lines 13-18); and

transmitting said commands and said associated parameters to said selected server (col. 12, lines 63-66).

6. Katinsky does not specifically disclose centralized user interface at an administrator terminal; a scheduled encoding mode for defining schedules for scheduled encoding processes to be performed by selected ones of the servers. However, Yost disclose centralized user interface at an administrator terminal (col. 3, lines 60-67; col. 4, lines 1-14 and 36-51); a scheduled encoding mode for defining schedules for scheduled encoding

Art Unit: 2154

processes to be performed by selected ones of the servers (44, fig. 1; col. 4, lines 22-35; col. 12, lines 37-54). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Katinsky and Yost because Yost's centralized user interface and scheduled encoding mode would increase data throughput by allowing the administrator to control and manage the operation of the service processing to the user (Katinsky's reference, col. 4, liens 38-48), thereby allowing a user to timely download the desired multimedia content from the appropriate server.

- 7. As to claim 2, Katinsky discloses each of the media servers is communicatively coupled with at least one corresponding multimedia device capable of generating media data (col. 9, lines 20-24).
- 8. As to claim 5, Katinsky and Yost do not specifically use a word an applet. However, Katinsky discloses JavaScript (col. 1, lines 32-40), and Yost discloses web browser (col. 15, lines 17-37). It would have been obvious one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include applet because Java applet executes within a web browser to quickly and easily download data from server to client, thereby allowing a user to display information on user's window.
- 9. As to claims 6, 7 and 15, they are rejected for the same reasons set forth in claim 1.
- 10. As to claim 8, Katinsky discloses each of the servers is operative to access at least

Art Unit: 2154

one corresponding memory device for storing media data, and wherein each of the servers is further operative to record selected portions of encoded media data that are encoded during said encoding operations (1010, 1012, 1014, fig. 10; col. 9, lines 20-46 and 63-67; col. 10, lines 9-16).

- 11. As to claims 9 and 14, Katinsky further discloses displaying a name selection interface component enabling the user specify an asset name for said encoded portion of media data to be stored at said selected storage location (col. 5, lines 10-16; col. 4, liens 56-65).
- 12. As to claim 10, Katinsky discloses overwriting option selection (col. 11, lines 34-38; col. 9, lines 60-61).
- 13. As to claims 11-13, Katinsky does not specifically disclose a plurality of end user terminals communicatively coupled to the administrator terminal and to the servers. However, Yost discloses a plurality of end user terminals (40a-40e, fig. 1) communicatively coupled to the administrator terminal (20, fig. 1) and to the servers (12, 14, fig. 1). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Katinsky and Yost because Yost's communicatively coupling between them would increase throughput by allowing the administrator to control and manage the operation of the service processing to the user (Katinsky's reference, col. 4, liens 38-48), thereby allowing a user to download the desired multimedia content from the

Art Unit: 2154

appropriate server.

- 14. As to claims 16 and 17, Katinsky does not specifically disclose notification operations include determining success or failure of the streaming specified by the corresponding playback operation. However, Yost discloses notification operations associated with corresponding ones of the playback operations (col. 13, lines 33-45). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Katinsky and Yost because Yost's notification operations would improve the performance of Katinsky's system by eliminating costs associated failed communication attempts while the system is down or crash, thereby the user may specify particular actions to be performed.
- 15. As to claim 18, Katinsky does not specifically disclose wherein the administrator terminal includes a processing unit, a browser application executed by the processing unit, and a display unit (col. 10, lines 35-48; col. 12, lines 10-18). However, Yost disclose wherein the administrator terminal includes a processing unit, a browser application executed by the processing unit, and a display unit. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Katinsky and Yost because Yost's peripheral devices at the administrator's system would provide expansion capability of Katinsky's system by allowing the administrator to remotely view the user's activity, manage and control the operation of the service processing to the user.

Art Unit: 2154

- 16. As to claim 20, it is rejected for the same reasons set forth in claim 2.
- 17. As to claim 21, it is rejected for the same reasons set forth in claim 18.
- 18. As to claim 22, Katinsky discloses the invention substantially as claimed in claim 1. In addition, Katinsky discloses a machine readable storage device having stored therein encoding instructions for executing a process of providing a user interface (1010, 1012, 1014, fig. 10; col. 9, lines 20-46 and 63-67; col. 10, lines 9-16).
- 19. As to claim 23, it is rejected for the same reasons set forth in claim 2.
- 20. As to claim 26, it is rejected for the same reasons set forth in claim 5.
- 21. As to claims 27 and 28, they are rejected for the same reasons set forth in claim 1.
- 22. As to claim 29, it is rejected for the same reasons set forth in claim 8.
- 23. As to claim 30, it is rejected for the same reasons set forth in claim 9.
- 24. As to claim 31, it is rejected for the same reasons set forth in claim 10.
- 25. As to claims 32-34, it is rejected for the same reasons set forth in claims 11-13.

Art Unit: 2154

26. As to claim 35, Yost and Katinsky do not specifically use a word an applet.

However, Yost discloses web browser (col. 15, lines 17-37); and Katinsky discloses

JavaScript (col. 1, lines 32-40). It would have been obvious one of ordinary skill in the art

Page 8

at the time the invention was made to include applet because Java applet executes within

a web browser to quickly and easily download data from server to client, thereby allowing

a user to display information on user's window.

- 27. As to claim 36, it is rejected for the same reasons set forth in claim 2.
- 28. As to claims 39, 40, 47 and 50-53, they are rejected for the same reasons set forth in claims 1 and 19.
- 29. As to claim 41, it is rejected for the same reasons set forth in claim 8.
- 30. As to claim 42, it is rejected for the same reasons set forth in claim 9.
- 31. As to claim 43, it is rejected for the same reasons set forth in claim 10.
- 32. As to claims 44-46, it is rejected for the same reasons set forth in claims 11-13.
- 33. As to claims 48 and 49, it is rejected for the same reasons set forth in claims 16

Art Unit: 2154

and 17.

34. As to claim 50, Katinsky discloses the client also includes a processing unit, a browser application executed by the processing unit, and a display unit (1030, 1004, fig. 10; col. 10, lines 17-25).

- 35. Claims 3, 24, and 37 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 36. Applicant's arguments filed 9/9/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 37. In the remarks, applicants argued in substance that
- (1) Neither of the references discusses encoding.
- (2) Scheduling the playing of media in a playlist does not constitute a "manual encoding process" as claimed. Additionally, automatically generating reports of selected data at scheduled time does not constituted a "scheduling encoding process" as claimed. Furthermore, even if selecting a play list and automatically generating reports could be considered "manual encoding" and 'scheduling encoding" processes as claimed, there is no suggestion or motivation to combine the references.

Art Unit: 2154

38. Examiner respectfully traverses applicants' remark.

As to point (1), encoding is the process of converting video and/or audio into a digital file capable of being sent over the Internet is well known in the art. Katinsky discloses converting the media streams (i.e., video, audio, etc.) into a digital format (i.e., hypertext markup language (HTML)), thereby allowing a user or administrator to receive a web page contains URL reference to the media file (Katinsky, col. 3, line 63 – col. 4, line 1; col. 6, lines 1-18).

As to point (2) Katinsky discloses a terminal (1002, fig. 10) that includes web server (1020, fig. 10) and plurality of media servers (1025, fig. 10). Katinsky also discloses <u>site manager</u> (i.e., network administrator or Internet service provider; col. 8, lines 29-32; col. 9, lines 30-33) that provides media contents to allow a user to manually select the content to download from the desired web/media server are well known in the art. Furthermore, Yost discloses a user select a manual encoding for starting and stopping encoding processes (col. 12, lines 3737-44). In addition, Yost discloses administrator terminal manages the scheduling of services (i.e., delivering the media content) to the user (col. 4, lines 1-10; col. 12, lines 10-18 and 37-54).

39. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Art Unit: 2154

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

40. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jungwon Chang whose telephone number is (703)305-9669. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-6:00 (Monday-Friday).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-Ai An can be reached on (703)308-9052. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)746-7239 for regular communications and (703)746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-9669.

ZARNI MAUNG PRIMARY EXAMINER

Jungwon Chang
December 1, 2003